

Chaper 4: Continuous-time interest rate models

Hua-Yi Lin

March 7, 2012

Agenda

- ▶ 4.1 One-factor models for the risk-free rate
- ▶ 4.2 The martingale approach

One-factor models for the risk-free rate

- ▶ For the one-factor models we will assume that

$$dr(t) = a(r(t)) dt + b(r(t)) dW(t)$$

so that the process $r(t)$ is Markov and time homogeneous.

- ▶ Three desirable but not essential basic characteristics:
 - ▶ Interest rates should be positive.
 - ▶ $r(t)$ should be autoregressive.
 - ▶ Simple formulae for bond prices and some derivative prices.

The martingale approach

Suppose that

$$dr(t) = a(t) dt + b(t) dW(t) \quad (4.1)$$

$$dP(t, T) = P(t, T)[m(t, T) dt + S(t, T) dW(t)] \quad (4.2)$$

$r(t)$: risk-free interest rate

$P(t, T)$: price of a zero-coupon bond with maturity T

Note risk premium of $P(t, T) = m(t, T) - r(t)$

Note market price of risk $:= \gamma(t) = \frac{m(t, T) - r(t)}{S(t, T)}$

Note risk-free cash account

$$dB(t) = r(t)B(t)dt \quad (\text{i.e. } B(t) = B(0)e^{\int_0^t r(u) du})$$

The martingale approach

Given (4.1) and (4.2) and $0 < t < S < T$.

Consider an interest rate derivative contract which pays X_S at time S . What is the no-arbitrage price, $V(t)$, at time t ?

Theorem 4.1

There exists a measure \mathbb{Q} equivalent to \mathbb{P} such that

$$V(t) = E_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-\int_t^S r(u) du} X_S | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

where $dr(t) = (a(t) - \gamma(t)b(t)) dt + b(t) d\tilde{W}(t)$ and $\tilde{W}(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion under \mathbb{Q}

The martingale approach

Proof of Theorem 4.1

$$Z(t, T) := \frac{P(t, T)}{B(t)} = P(t, T)e^{-\int_0^t r(u) du}$$

We now break the proof up into five steps.

Step 1

Claim: $\exists \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $Z(t, T)$ is a martingale.

Note

$$d(B(t)^{-1}) = -\frac{1}{B(t)^2}dB(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{B(t)^3}d\langle B \rangle(t) = -\frac{r(t)}{B(t)}dt$$

The martingale approach

$$\tilde{W}(t) := W(t) + \int_0^t \gamma(u) du$$

Assume that $\gamma(s)$ satisfies the *Novikov* condition

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_t^S \gamma(u)^2 du}] < \infty,$$

By *Girsanov* theorem, $\exists \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ with

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = e^{-\int_t^S \gamma(u) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^S \gamma(u)^2 du}$$

and under which $\tilde{W}(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion.

The martingale approach

$$\begin{aligned}dZ(t, T) &= B(t)^{-1}dP(t, T) + P(t, T)d(B(t)^{-1}) + d\langle B^{-1}, P \rangle(t) \\&= \frac{P(t, T)}{B(t)}[m(t, T)dt + S(t, T)dW(t)] - P(t, T)\frac{r(t)}{B(t)}dt + 0 \\&= Z(t, T)[(m(t, T) - r(t))dt + S(t, T)dW(t)] \\&= Z(t, T)[m(t, T) - r(t) - \gamma(t)S(t, T)dt + S(t, T)(dW(t) + \gamma(t)dt)] \\&= Z(t, T)S(t, T)d\tilde{W}(t) \\d \log Z(t, T) &= \frac{1}{Z(t, T)}dZ(t, T) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{Z(t, T)^2}Z(t, T)^2 S(t, T)^2 dt \\&= S(t, T)d\tilde{W}(t) - \frac{1}{2}S(t, T)^2 dt\end{aligned}$$

The martingale approach

$$\therefore Z(S, T) = Z(t, T) e^{\int_t^S S(u, T) d\tilde{W}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^S S(u, T)^2 du}$$

which is a martingale if $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_t^S S(u, T)^2 du}] < \infty$

Note(Novikov condition)

If $\gamma(t)$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \gamma(u)^2 du}] < \infty$$

then

$$Z(t) := e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(u) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \gamma(u)^2 du}$$

is a martingale under \mathbb{P} for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

The martingale approach

Step 2

Given $t < t' < S$

Claim: $D(t) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[B(S)^{-1}X_S | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is a \mathbb{Q} -martingale

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[D(t') | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[B(S)^{-1}X_S | \mathcal{F}_{t'}] | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[B(S)^{-1}X_S | \mathcal{F}_t] | \mathcal{F}_{t'}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[B(S)^{-1}X_S | \mathcal{F}_t] = D(t)$$

The martingale approach

Step 3

Claim: there exists a previsible process $\phi(t)$ s.t.

$$D(t) = D(0) + \int_0^t \phi(u) dZ(u, T)$$

By martingale representation theorem, $dD(t) = d'(t) d\tilde{W}(t)$.

Recall that $dZ(t, T) = Z(t, T)S(t, T)d\tilde{W}(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore dD(t) &= \frac{d'(t)}{Z(t, T)S(t, T)} Z(t, T)S(t, T) d\tilde{W}(t) \\ &= \frac{d'(t)}{Z(t, T)S(t, T)} dZ(t, T) := \phi(t) dZ(t, T) \end{aligned}$$

The martingale approach

Step 4

$\psi(t) := D(t) - \phi(t)Z(t, T)$. Consider a portfolio as follows:

$\phi(t)$ units of $P(t, T)$ and $\psi(t)$ units of $B(t)$.

Claim: the portfolio above is self-financing.

Proof

The value of this portfolio at time t is

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= \phi(t)P(t, T) + \psi(t)B(t) = B(t)[\phi(t)Z(t, T) + \psi(t)] \\ &= B(t)D(t) \end{aligned}$$

The martingale approach

$$\begin{aligned}dV(t) &= d[B(t)D(t)] = B(t)dD(t) + D(t)dB(t) + dB(t)dD(t) \\&= B(t)\phi(t)dZ(t, T) + D(t)r(t)B(t)dt \\&= \phi(t)B(t)S(t, T)Z(t, T)d\tilde{W}(t) + (\phi(t)Z(t, T) + \psi(t))r(t)B(t)dt \\&= \phi(t)P(t, T)[r(t)dt + S(t, T)d\tilde{W}(t)] + \psi(t)r(t)B(t)dt \\&= \phi(t)dP(t, T) + \psi(t)dB(t)\end{aligned}$$

Note $dP(t, T) = P(t, T)[m(t, T) dt + S(t, T) dW(t)]$

$$\begin{aligned}&= P(t, T)[m(t, T) dt - S(t, T)\gamma(t) dt + S(t, T)\gamma(t) dt + S(t, T) dW(t)] \\&= P(t, T)[r(t)dt + S(t, T)d\tilde{W}(t)]\end{aligned}$$

The martingale approach

$$V(t) = \phi(t)P(t, T) + \psi(t)B(t)$$

$$V(t + dt)' = \phi(t)[P(t, T) + dP(t, T)] + \psi(t)[B(t) + dB(t)]$$

$$= \phi(t)P(t, T) + \psi(t)B(t) + [\phi(t)dP(t, T) + \psi(t)dB(t)]$$

$$= V(t) + dV(t) = V(t + dt)$$

The instantaneous change in the portfolio value from t to $t + dt$ is equal to the instantaneous investment gain over the same period, so the portfolio process is self-financing.

The martingale approach

Step 5

$$V(t) = B(t)D(t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{B(t)}{B(S)}X_S \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{-\int_t^S r(u) du} X_S \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

$$\therefore V(S) = B(S)\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[B(S)^{-1}X_S \mid \mathcal{F}_S] = X_S$$

This implies not only that the portfolio process is self-financing but also that it replicates the derivative payoff. It follows that, for $t < S$, $V(t)$ is the unique no-arbitrage price at time t for X_S payable at S .

The martingale approach

Corollary 4.2

For all S s.t. $0 < S < T$,

$$P(t, S) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-\int_t^S r(u) du} | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

Remark

$$\begin{aligned}dV(t) &= \phi(t)dP(t, T) + \psi(t)dB(t) \\&= \phi(t)P(t, T)[r(t)dt + S(t, T)d\tilde{W}(t)] + \psi(t)B(t)r(t)dt \\&= [\phi(t)P(t, T) + \psi(t)B(t)]r(t)dt + \phi(t)P(t, T)S(t, T)d\tilde{W}(t) \\&:= V(t)[r(t)dt + \sigma_V(t)d\tilde{W}(t)]\end{aligned}$$

The martingale approach

Under the risk-neutral measure \mathbb{Q} , the prices of all tradable assets have the risk-free rate of interest as the expected growth rate.

Now consider the price dynamics under the real-world measure \mathbb{P}

$$\begin{aligned}dV(t) &= V(t)[r(t)dt + \sigma_V(t)(dW(t) + \gamma(t)dt)] \\ &= V(t)[(r(t) + \gamma(t)\sigma_V(t))dt + \sigma_V(t)dW(t)]\end{aligned}$$

In a one-factor model, the risk-premiums on different assets can differ only through the volatility in the tradable asset.